

Original article

Factors affecting the prognosis of Honey Bee sting reaction

*Abhijeet A. Adgaonkar¹, Anant A. Dawange², Shalaka A. Adgaonkar³

¹ Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, Government Medical College, Akola, Maharashtra-444001, India.

² Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Government Medical College, Akola, Maharashtra-444001, India.

³ Medical officer, District Women's Hospital, Akola, Maharashtra-444001, India.

Corresponding author : *Abhijeet A. Adgaonkar

Abstract:

Insects of the order Hymenoptera, which include honey bees, wasps, ants and hornets, are frequently involved in accidental stings to human beings. Bee venom consists of a mixture of biologically active substances which cause localized and systemic reactions which may be fatal. Present study was conducted to study the clinical features of Honey bee sting reaction & to evaluate various factors affecting its prognosis. Total 50 patients (37 males & 13 females) were included in this study from different age groups. All patients were given conservative treatment with antihistaminics & were observed till discharge from the hospital. In this study we conclude that in Honey bee sting patients the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis is more in old age patients with history of previous allergy & severe previous sting reactions, in patients having multiple stings and late presentation to the hospital.

Key words: Honey bee sting, antihistaminics, systemic anaphylaxis

Introduction:

Anaphylactic shock is an unexpected, sudden and deadly event that affects the 75% of the cases without pre-existent history of allergy. According to the recent concept most common causes of Anaphylactic shock are drugs, hymenopterics poisons and nutrients.

[1] Insects of the order Hymenoptera, which include honey bees, wasps, ants and hornets, are frequently involved in accidental stings to human beings. Hymenoptera venom consists of a mixture of biologically active substances which cause localized and systemic reactions which may be fatal. The severity and duration of reaction to bee venom can differ from one person to another.

Entomology:

Hymenoptera order members are particularly important because of being nearly ubiquitous in the nature. Their stings may lead to fatal allergic reactions. The members of the Hymenoptera order are bees, wasps,

hornets, yellowjackets and ants. Many of these animals have poison glands and stinging apparatus. Two distinct families exist, the Apidae and the Vespidae. The genus *Apis* contains only the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*), while the genus *Polistes* and the genus *Vespa* contain wasp, yellow jacket and hornet. The various subspecies occur with different frequencies in different parts of the world .

Pathogenesis:

During a sting approximately 50 µg of venom is injected into the skin. The sting is normally left in situ, resulting in evisceration and death of the bee. Bee venom contains three main allergens: Phospholipase A, hyaluronidase and mellitin. Hymenoptera venom consists of a mixture of biologically active substances including enzymes (phospholipases, hyaluronidases), peptides (melitin, apamin, bombolitin) and other low molecular weight compounds (biogenic amines, acetylcholine, lipids and free amino acids) .

The non-allergic local reaction is a toxic response to venom constituents, while the large local reaction appears to be caused by an allergic reaction to venom proteins. The IgE mediated late-phase reaction is probably responsible for most of these reactions; however, a cell-mediated mechanism, or a combination of the two, is possible. Mellitin, a peptide component of bee venom, hydrolyses cell membranes, changes cell permeability, causes histamine and cate-cholamine release and is responsible for local pain. It acts with phospholipase-A2 to trigger the release of arachido-nic acid, which causes cell membrane breakdown, damage of the vascular endothelium, and activation of the inflammatory response. Peptide 401 (mast cell degranulating peptide), triggers mast cells to degranulate, releasing histamine and other vasoactive peptides. Vasoactive amines, including histamine, dopamine and noradrenaline can pro-voke ischemia and even myocardial infarction through profound hypotension and arrhythmia, or by increasing oxy-gen demands through direct inotropic and chronotropic effects in the presence of preexisting ischemic heart Disease[2]. The “allergic angina syndrome” which could progress to acute myocardial infarction (“allergic myocardial infarction”) was first described in 1991 by Kounis and Zavras. Allergic angina and allergic myocardial infarction are no-w referred to as “Kounis syndrome” this syndrome is associated with mast cell degranulation[3-5].Jae Woo Jung et al reported a fatal case of a 65-year-old woman with DIC (Disseminated intravascular Coagulation), following ana-phyllactic shock after bee sting acupuncture[6]. Mesothelium damage, thrombocyte and macrophage activation, cyt-okine, leukotriene release vascular coagulation, bradykinin and Platelet activating factor (PAF) and sometimes eve-n the deposition of

immune complexes in the basement membrane of small blood vessels and activation of the complement system may contribute to the pathogenesis of DIC[7].

Lethal dose and fatal period:

The estimated lethal dose is approximately 20 stings/kg in most mammals. Onset of life-threatening, anaphylactic signs typically occur within 10 minutes of the stings. Massive honey bee envenomation is defined as more than 50 stings at a time.

Clinical Features:

Honey bee sting results in a number of clinical presentations :

- (i) Non-allergic, local reactions (pain, edema, redness at the sting site)
- (ii) Allergic, large local reactions (extensive swelling >10 cm persisting more than 24hrs)
- (iii) Anaphylaxis (generalized urticaria, angioedema, bronchospasm, hypotension, cardiovascular collapse and loss of consciousness)
- (iv) Systemic toxic reactions (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, headache, seizures and altered sensorium)
- (v) Unusual reactions (cardiac ischemia, encephalomyelitis and cerebral infarctions)[8,9].

Aims & objectives:

- (i) To study the clinical features of Honey bee sting reaction.
- (ii) To study the factors affecting the prognosis of the Honey bee sting reaction.

Material & Methods:

This study was carried out in one year period at Government Medical College, Akola after taking permission from the Institute’s ethical committee. Total 50 cases (37 males & 13 females) were included in this study. All the patients agreed to take part in the present study. Patients from all age groups and of both sexes were studied. Blood investigations including hemogram, liver function tests, kidney

function tests, blood sugar level, serum proteins and urine examination were done. In all 50 patients antihistaminics were given & all patients were observed till discharge from the hospital. In all patients, first step in the treatment following a bee sting i. e. removal of the stinger was done. Cool compresses were applied to reduce local pain & swelling. Topical anaesthetic agent benzocaine was applied to reduce pain and menthol to reduce itching. In uncomplicated patients, conservative therapy with antihistaminics was done. Epinephrine is the only effective drug in cases of severe systemic anaphylaxis having respiratory (bronchial asthma, laryngeal edema) or cardiovascular (hypotension,

arrhythmias, hypovolemic shock) manifestations. It was administered in cases of severe systemic anaphylaxis.

Patients having previous history of non allergic local reactions were considered as having “less severe” previous reactions & those having previous history of allergic large local reactions or anaphylaxis or required hospitalization in the past were considered as having “more severe” previous reactions.

Observations:

In the present study following observations were made.

Table I: Clinical features observed in the present study.

S. N.	Clinical features observed	No. of patients	Percentage
1	Non-allergic, local reactions	40	80
2	Allergic, large local reactions	8	16
3	Anaphylaxis	2	4
4	Systemic toxic reactions	0	0
5	Unusual reactions	0	0

The above observation table shows that in the present study, majority of the patients (80%) developed local anaphylaxis.

Table II: Incidence of systemic anaphylaxis in relation with age of patients.

Age in years	No. of patients	Patients developing severe systemic anaphylaxis	%
0-20	8	0	0
21-30	4	0	0
31-40	7	0	0
41-50	16	0	0
>50	15	2	13.33

The above observation table shows that the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (13.33%) in patients more than 50 years of age i.e. old age patients.

Table III: Incidence of systemic anaphylaxis in relation with history of previous allergy.

History of previous allergy	No. of patients	Patients developing severe systemic anaphylaxis	%
Present	10	2	20
Absent	40	0	0

The above observation table shows that the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (20%) in patients having history of previous allergy.

Table IV: Incidence of systemic anaphylaxis in relation with severity of previous sting reactions.

Severity of previous sting reactions	No. of patients	Patients developing severe systemic anaphylaxis	%
More severe	6	2	33.33
Less severe	4	0	0

The above observation table shows that the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (33.33%) in patients having more severe previous sting reactions.

Table V: Incidence of systemic anaphylaxis in relation with history of multiple stings to patients.

History of multiple stings to patients.	No. of patients	Patients developing severe systemic anaphylaxis	%
Present	14	2	14.28
Absent	36	0	0

The above observation table shows that the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (14.28%) in patients having history of multiple stings.

Table VI: Incidence of systemic anaphylaxis in relation with late presentation of Honey bee sting patients.

Duration from Honey bee sting to Hospitalization (hours)	No. of patients	Patients developing severe systemic anaphylaxis	%
<6 hours	35	0	0%
>6 hours	15	2	13.33%

The above observation table shows that the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (13.33%) in patients with late presentation to the hospital.

In all 50 patients antihistaminics were given but 2 patients having old age with history of previous allergy, history of more severe previous sting reactions, having multiple stings & late presentation to the hospital developed severe systemic anaphylaxis & expired.

Discussion:

Systemic (generalized) allergic sting reactions result in cutaneous, vascular or respiratory symptoms and signs, either singly or in any combination, with possible involvement of other less common target tissues. Cardiac anaphylaxis can also cause bradycardia, arrhythmias, angina or myocardial infarction. Golden DB et al (16) in their study observed that the chance of systemic reaction to a sting was low (5-10%) in children & varies between 25% & 70% which was more in adults depending on the severity of previous sting reactions . In the present study also, the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (13.33%) in patients more than 50 years of age i.e. old age patients.

There may be a greater chance of systemic reaction if there are multiple stings at one time, or if there are repeated stings in the same summer. In two

retrospective surveys by Lockey RF et al & Golden DBK et al (10,15), there were a larger number of subjects who described worsening of the reaction with subsequent stings. In the present study, the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (14.28%) in patients having history of multiple honey bee stings. In the present study, majority of the patients (80%) developed local anaphylaxis which was also observed by Schuberth KC et al (11). In their study they observed that cutaneous symptoms are most common overall, affecting 80%; they are the sole manifestation in 15% of adults but in more than 60% of affected children. Almost 50% of reactions in both children and adults included respiratory complaints. Systemic allergic reactions are reported by Golden DBK et al (17) & Settupane GA et al (18) in 3% of adults, and almost in 1% of children having a medical history of severe previous sting reactions. In prospective sting challenge studies by Golden DBK et al & vanderLinden PG et al (13,14), less than 1% of the patients had reactions more severe than their past reactions. In the present study also, the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (33.33%) in patients having history of more severe

previous sting reactions. In the studies by Golden DBK, Marsh DG et al (17), over 30% of adults stung in the previous 3 months showed venom-specific IgE by skin test or RAST, and over 20% of all adults tested positive to yellow jacket or honeybee venom, even though most had no history of allergic sting reactions. Golden DBK et al (12) in their study observed that, of the subjects with initial positive skin tests, 30–60% became negative after 3–6 years & those who remained positive showed a 17% frequency of a systemic reaction to a sting. In the present study the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (20%) in patients having history of previous allergy. Golden DBK et al (17) observed that the patients usually fail to admit sting reactions without specific inquiry, often do not seek medical attention, and believe the reaction was a chance occurrence which could not happen again. In the present study, the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis was more (13.33%) in patients with late presentation to the hospital. So the history should include all previous stings, the time course of the reactions, and all associated symptoms and treatments.

References:

1. Kaeser P, Hammann C, Luthi F, Enrico JF. Anaphylactic shock. Praxis (Bern 1994).1995 Nov 7;84(45):1307-13.
2. Riches KJ, Gillis D, James RA. An autopsy approach to bee sting-related deaths. Pathology 2002 Jun;34:257-262
3. Zavras GM, Papadaki PJ, Kokkinis CE, Kalokairinov K, Kouni SN, Batsolaki M et al. Kounis syndrome secondary to allergic reactions following shellfish ingestion. Int J Clin Pract. 2003; 57(7):622-4.
4. Kounis GN, Hahalis G, Soufres GD, Kounis NG. Kounis syndrome and simultaneous multivessel acute coronary syndrome after drug eluting stent implantation. Int J Cardiol.2008;127(1):146-8.
5. Kogias JS, Sideris SK, Anifadis SK. Kounis syndrome associated with hypersensitivity to hymenoptera stings. Int J Cardiol. 2007; 114(2):252-5.
6. Jae Woo Jung et. al. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2012 March;4(2):107-109.
7. Severino M, Bonadonna P, Passalacqua G. Large local reactions from stinging insects from epidemiology to management. Current Opinion in Allergy & Clinical Immunology.2009; 9:334-337.
8. Levine HD. Acute myocardial infarction following wasp sting. Am Heart J. 1976; 91:365.
9. Brasher GW, Sanchez SA. Reversible electrocardiographic changes associated with wasp sting anaphylaxis. JAMA. 1974; 229: 1210-1

Conclusion:

In the present study 80% patients developed local anaphylaxis. In this study we conclude that in Honey bee sting patients the incidence of systemic anaphylaxis is more in old age patients with history of previous allergy & severe previous sting reactions, in patients having multiple stings and late presentation to the hospital.

For individuals with a specific allergy to Hymenoptera venom, immunotherapy may be a relatively safe and effective treatment option. The efficacy of venom immunotherapy is well documented but this treatment is expensive. It is therefore mainly indicated in patients with a history of severe systemic reactions and a high degree of exposure.

Acknowledgement:

The authors acknowledge their gratitude to the patients undergoing treatment in this study and the technical staff who helped to collect data for this study. For this study, no funding was received from any external source. Author himself and borne whatever expenses were required.

10. Lockey RF, Turkeltaub PC, Baird-Warren IA, Olive CA, Olive ES, Peppe BC, et al. The Hymenoptera venom study. I. 1979-1982:demographic and history-sting data. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1988;82:370–81. [PubMed: 3170-986]
11. Schuberth KC, Lichtenstein LM, Kagey-Sobotka A, Szklo M, Kwiterovich KA, Valentine MD. An Epidem-iologic study of insect allergy in children. I. Characteristics of the disease. *J Pediatr* 1982;100:546–51. [PubMed: 7062201]
12. Golden DBK, Marsh DG, Freidhoff LR, Kwiterovich KA, Addison B, Kagey-Sobotka A, et al. Natural history of Hymenoptera venom sensitivity in adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1997;100:760–6.[PubMed: 9438483]
13. Golden DBK, Breisch NL, Hamilton RG, Guralnick MW, Greene A, Craig TO, et al. Clinical and Entomological factors influence the outcome of sting challenge studies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2006;117:670–5. [PubMed: 16522-469]
14. vanderLinden PG, Hack CE, Struyvenberg A, vanderZwan JK. Insect-sting challenge in 324 subjects with a previous anaphylactic reaction: Current criteria for insect-venom hypersensitivity do not predict the occurrence and the severity of anaphylaxis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1994;94:151–9.[PubMed: 8064067]
15. Golden DBK, Langlois J, Valentine MD. Treatment failures with whole body extract therapy of insect sting allergy. *JAMA* 1981;246:2460–63. [PubMed: 7299969]
16. Golden DB. Insect Sting Anaphylaxis. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am.* 2007;27(2):261-72.
17. Golden DBK, Marsh DG, Kagey-Sobotka A, Addison BI, Freidhoff L, Szklo M, et al. Epidemiology of insect venom sensitivity. *JAMA* 1989;262:240–4. [PubMed: 2739018]
18. Settipane GA, Newstead GJ, Boyd GK. Frequency of Hymenoptera allergy in an atopic and normal Population. *J Allergy* 1972;50:146–50.